

CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS  
REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING

City Hall

Monday, June 9, 2008

***MINUTES***

**CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Hidden Hills was duly held in the Council Chambers at the City Hall, 6165 Spring Valley Road, Hidden Hills, California 91302 on Monday, June 9, 2008 at the hour of 7:30 p.m. Mayor Steve Freedland called the meeting to order and presided thereover after leading the Council and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**ROLL CALL**

Council: Mayor Steve Freedland  
Mayor Pro Tem Larry G. Weber  
Council Member Monty Fisher  
Council Member Stuart E. Siegel

Staff: City Treasurer Eddie Bauch  
City Attorney Roxanne Diaz  
City Engineer Dirk Lovett  
Bookkeeper Randee Weinberger  
City Manager Cherie L. Paglia

Absent: Council Member Jim Cohen

Upon MOTION of Council Member Siegel, seconded by Council Member Fisher and unanimously carried, it was resolved to excuse the absence of Council Member Cohen.

### **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Upon MOTION of Council Member Siegel, seconded by Council Member Fisher and unanimously carried, it was resolved that the agenda for the June 9, 2008 regular meeting be approved as submitted.

### **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mayor Freedland made the following announcements:

Father's Day is this coming Sunday, June 15<sup>th</sup>.

The last day of school for all schools in the Las Virgenes Unified School District is Wednesday, June 18<sup>th</sup>.

The City is sponsoring a bulky item pick-up day on Saturday, June 21<sup>st</sup>; call City Hall for more information.

In response to Mayor Freedland, City Engineer Dirk Lovett confirmed that no City general funds were used on the Round Meadow/Mureau corner project; this project was paid for with gas tax, Prop C, and TDA Bicycle funds, all of which are restricted funds that have very limited uses.

Mayor Freedland informed everyone that the purchase and installation of the artificial turf strip, a beautification project undertaken on behalf of Round Meadow School (along the school between Round Meadow Road and the sidewalk) was also paid for with restricted gas tax funds. He added that these funds are provided to the City by the State, and can only be used in relation to public streets, not the private streets inside the gates.

### **AUDIENCE**

There were no questions or comments at this time.

## **PRESENTATIONS**

### **A. First Year Report – City Technology Support for the Las Virgenes Unified School District**

A slide show presentation showing the first year's accomplishments and plans for the future related to the new technology and training (projectors and Elmos, teacher laptops and published websites, email accounts, grades online, network upgrades, etc.), was narrated by the following from the District: Mary Schillinger/Assistant Superintendent of Education; Trish Paulson/Director of Educational Technology; Jeanne Sbardellati/Elementary Teacher on Special Assignment; Mary Hamre/Secondary Level Teacher on Special Assignment; and Mike Carmody/Director of Management Information Systems. Also in attendance were Dr. Donald Zimring/Superintendent and Technicians Bryan Starr and Boris Velikovsky.

Council Member Siegel had several questions to which Dr. Zimring replied as follows:

The grants from the four cities (Hidden Hills, Agoura Hills, Calabasas, and Westlake Village) provided all the personnel side; the District paid for much of the infrastructure; the taxpayers and voters provided the equipment side; when taxpayers passed Measure G, the key component was not only the acquisition of technology, but also the replenishment of the technology every three to four years; under any bond, the District cannot purchase personnel, which they knew; the results have been tremendous, especially when realizing that everything shown tonight has been accomplished in just one-half year; the District has had AP classes in place for some time; the purpose of the grants from the cities was to integrate technology and deliver instruction to all levels from kindergarten to 12<sup>th</sup> grade, and not just in computer science.

Dr. Zimring then responded to several questions from Mayor Freedland:

Considering next year's budget and related problems, this project will die without the support from the four cities; even though they have had to make cuts in other areas, this is too important in terms of what the 21<sup>st</sup> century has to look like; they are trying to maintain it at all costs; the District's support is approximately \$600,000 from the general fund, used for the District personnel in place originally (before the grants); they are still making upgrades to the network, but probably 80% - 90% of the equipment has been purchased; ongoing support is needed for personnel and training; the simple goal this year was to get the excitement of the teachers; until now, the system was not reliable, so teachers would not use it; now through united streaming teachers are immediately able to

get video clips, etc.; Calabasas has committed its support, Agoura Hills is voting on a funding agreement next week, and Westlake Village has given every indication of support, although that city has not seen this presentation yet.

All of the Council Members had additional questions, to which Dr. Zimring responded as follows:

When the District makes its formal request for a continued technology grant, he can certainly designate how that funding will be used; they are very excited that after only 2½ months of training, they are over half-way toward the goal of every teacher having a website; this is such a key area for communication with the parents and students, and will roll forward into next year; Noodle is an interactive classroom that allows teachers to trade information as everything can be file shared; there were some problems this year with the microwave network, which have to be finished this next year; there are currently only two sites that are beta testing wireless on site; they are concerned with security, as they do not have a huge staff, and are therefore reluctant to move down wireless on campus; but the infrastructure in the District is an enterprise solution for microwave, which provides a cost savings in the long run with uncapped speed; so the campuses are still wired, but the connections between school sites are all wireless; the District can backstop the equipment side pretty well with the bond, which has an ongoing money stream for the next 15-20 years; the personnel side is the hardest, and where they have been hit the hardest by the state; eventually they would like to see site specialists; currently they have two teachers on special assignment, six technicians all site based, one help desk person, and one network security person; the program has been up and running for six months; of the original \$600,000, they have probably spent it down to about \$80,000 - \$90,000 remaining; they will definitely be formally asking the Council for additional technology funding.

As there were no further questions or comments, Mayor Freedland called for a short recess at 7:58 p.m., reconvening the meeting at 8:09 p.m.

**B. 2008 Fiesta**

The City Manager reported the following:

The City Council previously approved an expenditure of not-to-exceed \$29,000 to Helm & Sons Amusements for the Fiesta rides and games; Fiesta Co-chairman Lisa Moriarity has worked with the company, and has now received a formal proposal covering all of the rides and everything else that she wanted; however, that cost is now \$30,000, and Ms. Moriarity is asking the Council to approve the additional \$1000 amount.

Upon MOTION of Mayor Pro Tem Weber, seconded by Council Member Siegel and unanimously carried on roll call vote, it was resolved to approve the additional expenditure of \$1000 to Helm & Sons Amusements to provide the rides and games for this year's Fiesta, bringing the total cost to \$30,000.

### **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**A. Variance No. V-374  
24814 Jacob Hamblin Road  
Swimming Pool and Retaining Walls within the Side Yard Setback**

Mayor Freedland recused himself at this time, as he lives within 500' of the subject property. All the rest of the Council Members then reported being familiar with the site, after which Mayor Pro Tem Weber asked City Engineer Dirk Lovett for a staff report. Mr. Lovett provided the following information:

This variance was scheduled for a public hearing on 3/24/08, at which time no specific plans were submitted to the Council, as the applicant requested a continuance to redesign the plans; the hearing was continued to 4/18/08; at the public hearings, one letter was received from a neighbor expressing no objections to the variance, and three other neighbors expressed general concerns related to appearance and maintenance of the construction site, length of construction, visual impacts, runoff from the new hardscape, and structural integrity of the pool and hillside; the public hearing was closed on 4/18/08, as the redesigned plans were not ready; the plans have now been redesigned and the applicant is again asking for the variance; based on the concerns raised, staff has included several suggested conditions for the Council's consideration if the variance is to be approved, or the expressed concerns can be addressed by staff during the plan review and permit process; staff is also recommending some additional conditions including the installation of a 3-rail fence on all sides of the property except the front, a requirement for the applicant to sign a letter acknowledging acceptance of the proposed conditions, and an expiration of the variance if construction does not begin within one year of approval and is not actively pursued to completion; although the Association approved and had no problem with the original design, the redesigned plans have not been submitted to the Association for review and approval, which would be needed before any permits are issued; regarding the project description, the variance is for a pool and four retaining walls, varying in height from 2' to 8½', that will encroach into the side yard setback; the Municipal Code does allow 3' high retaining walls up to 10' into the setback (15' from the property line); the project does propose walls less than 5' in height within the side

yard setback, tapering down to grade before hitting the property line; the pool encroaches 10' into the side yard setback; the project has been designed in this configuration due to limitations on the lot; there is a small, irregularly shaped pad near the street, with the rear of the yard dropping off steeply; other properties on this street have also received variances for side and rear yard encroachments; staff feels that the four required findings can be met, and would recommend approval of the variance, subject to all of the conditions stated in the staff report.

Council Member Fisher asked if there was any problem with the City issuing a building permit for this lot, as there will be heavy equipment that could damage the private road when traveling to the property. Mr. Lovett explained that building permits are issued all the time for properties on private roads, and if there is any damage to the road, that would be a private civil matter among those having ownership of or easements across the road.

Council Member Siegel commented as follows:

He was surprised to see this variance before the Council without having Association approval, as they may have some issues with the project; having a difficult lot himself, for which he had to spend a great deal of money trying to avoid retaining walls and setbacks, he is having a hard time understanding why this type of building in the setbacks would be acceptable; in the past, someone would buy a lot and use what was there; he can understand a variance for a house, but he does not feel this type of project is what the concept of a variance is all about; he does not want to make any decision on this project until the project is reviewed by the Association, to see what conditions might be imposed.

Mayor Pro Tem Weber opened the public hearing, at which time applicant Cliff Hill addressed the Council:

He went to the Association first, telling them he just wanted to install a pool, wherever it could fit on the property, and they approved the original design; the only thing he has changed from that original design was to move the pool further out of the setback; Ron Heston told him it sounded like a very minor change and he should stop by with the revised plans; there is a problem with retaining walls, no matter where the pool is built; the back yard drop-off is 45 degrees or more to the property line below; where the pool is proposed is the only reasonably flat portion of the lot; his neighbors, the Bouliannes, have over a 9' retaining wall for their pool, and he faces that same slope; all of the conditions suggested by staff are fine with him; he does hillside home construction for a living, and is used to installing caissons; he has obtained engineering, and moving the pool further out of the setback does not create much of a change; he will be going down 35' in some

cases; the equipment to be used will not be that large, as it will probably only require a bobcat and drilling rig; massive equipment was used at the Bouliannes, for approximately four years, when they were doing construction starting about eight or nine years ago.

Mayor Pro Tem Weber suggested that project was approved by some of the current Council Members, adding that there were also many homes on the Jed Smith loop that do not conform to the current setbacks.

Mr. Hill had several additional comments:

The Architectural Committee did say the same thing, that some things approved back then could not be done today, such as having 38' from the top of the roof to the bottom of the foundation; he does have a good view from his property, but there is no flat land; to build the pool, what is proposed is what would be required; if you measure the neighbor's retaining wall, it is about 16' from top to bottom, but it is terraced; it is not really visible, as it is covered with foliage, as he would do; he does like to see an open side yard; there was a recent small fire in the back, and the Fire Department took hoses down his side yard, which would still be possible with the modification to his plans; if possible, he would like to get Council approval contingent on the Association (Architectural Committee) approval, as he would like to start as soon as possible; caissons cannot be done during the rainy season, so if he has to wait too long to start, he will have to wait until the rainy season passes; he has been working on this for four years, with approximately three revisions for the Architectural Committee to cut down the size of the retaining walls.

As there were no further comments and no MOTION for consideration at this time, Mayor Pro Tem Weber continued the public hearing to July 14, 2008, to give Mr. Hill time to request approval from the Architectural Committee.

Mayor Freedland rejoined the meeting at this time (8:30 p.m.).

**B. Review and Discussion of Preliminary Draft City Budget for Fiscal Year 2008-2009**

Mayor Freedland stated the following:

He and Mayor Pro Tem Weber met with City Treasurer Eddie Bauch, Charles Abbott Associates, and staff recently as an ad hoc budget committee to develop a proposed draft

budget for 2008-2009; the expected revenues are pretty close to those of last year, resulting at this time in a projected surplus of \$32,500; one change since that time is a request from Public Safety to increase the capital improvement budget for satellite phones to \$18,500 based on an actual estimate just received.

Mayor Freedland then asked Mayor Pro Tem Weber for additional information, which he provided as follows:

Many of this year's figures are established by looking at last year's budget and the actual to-date numbers; the expected revenues came out to within about \$7000 of this year's revenue; individual components do change; an additional amount of property taxes are expected, with interest income expected to decline substantially; there should be an increase in Building & Safety revenue based on some upcoming projects; "other revenue" is expected to increase slightly; the projected conservative revenue number, based on the actuals from this year, is \$1,583,300.

Mayor Freedland then opened the public hearing, at which time resident Jeff Costell addressed the Council as follows:

He is the Chairman of the Measure G oversight committee for the Las Virgenes Unified School District (LVUSD); he is the proud father of a child at Round Meadow and one who will be attending that school in two years; there is a tremendous need for monetary resources for capital expenditures in spite of Measure G; there are a large number of projects at the high school that took the lion's share of the money; he understands the City gave money last year to support technology; there is a dire need for more money with the budget cuts coming from Sacramento; as a parent, a member of this community, and someone who cares deeply about this School District, he would encourage the Council to again provide funds for technology; the funds would be well used and sorely missed if not provided.

Dr. Donald Zimring, Superintendent of the LVUSD, then addressed the Council:

He is honored to have in attendance tonight Board President Cindy Iser and Board Member Jill Gaines; as the Council is at this time reviewing its proposed budget, he would like to formally request that technology funding be included again this year; it is a stretch for cities, but all four cities in the region have taken a very visionary road, understanding that great communities come partly because of great schools; the City's support and generosity have helped take a huge step toward that, and the District would hate to see that lost; last year the four cities together appropriated \$600,000, with Hidden Hills providing \$17,785 proportionately; he would respectfully ask for the same amount to be included in this upcoming budget to help them continue what they started; they think they can deliver on the promises, which he felt could be seen in the earlier

presentation; the excitement alone was worth the money in terms of reinvigorating their programs, but more importantly, it speaks to how the City prioritizes public education and always has; they know it is difficult for the cities, but know Hidden Hills has always been committed to the District and its students; for a city as small as Hidden Hills, he would acknowledge that the funding sources are very different; but he would hope the City's proportionate support would continue, as they will promise to continue to deliver the best public education in the state.

In response to Council Member Siegel, Mayor Freedland said the proposed budget does include \$25,000 for community assistance grants, which would cover the requested amount if desired by the Council.

Resident Mathy Wasserman stated the following:

The money for the technology grant would be well worth it; she sees it in action at all levels at the different schools; as the Co-president of the PFA and PFC at two of the schools, she also sees how helpful it is to the teachers and staff; many of the teachers can do websites without a webmaster, and if they cannot, they get the students to help them; the training, support, etc. are fantastic, and this has made the classrooms very exciting.

As there were no further comments from the audience, Mayor Freedland closed the public hearing, and asked the Council Members if they had any questions on the proposed budget. Council Member Siegel was concerned about two items: 1) the expected increase in property taxes, especially since the County is reducing (without any specific requests) the assessed value of numerous homes in the area, and 2) the one-third reduction in interest income. Mayor Freedland and City Treasurer Eddie Bauch explained as follows:

Property tax revenue as of May this year was at \$602,000, ahead of the projected budget; the proposed revenue for this upcoming year is more than what was budgeted last year, but still less than what will be received by the end of June this year; the interest rate at LAIF, where the City's reserves are kept, is down considerably; the projected interest income is just mathematical, based on the numbers; it is a conservative estimate, and if more is received during the year, all the better.

Mayor Freedland then stated the following in relation to the requested technology grant for the LVUSD:

In times like this with the budget crisis, and with money being lost from the state due to its budget problems, he believes it is critical for the City to step up and support this program for at least one more year; he has been in the classrooms and seen this program at work, with the students being energized due to the use of Elmo, etc.; his two children are in the two different high schools, and are constantly on the internet checking their grades; it would be money well spent, and not a lot of money for a city like ours; this is a unique situation and has not happened elsewhere with the four cities coming together to support this technology; it is important for property values, even if a someone does not have children attending school; it is important to have a District that can give our children the best education possible; he would like to approve a grant in the same amount as that provided last year.

Council Member Siegel did not wish to approve the grant this evening, but suggested that Dr. Zimring return to a future Council meeting with an official request for funding, at which time he could provide a more detailed breakdown of where and how the money would be spent, and also give a briefing of how the state budget is impacting the District. There were no further questions or comments.

#### **CONSENT CALENDAR**

- A. City Council Minutes – May 12, 2008**
- B. City Council Minutes – May 26, 2008**
- C. Demand List**
- D. Disbursement List – April**
- E. Financial/Treasurer’s Report – April**

The City Manager reported that the May 12, 2008 minutes were not ready for review, so should be removed from the consent calendar, to be placed on a future agenda. Upon MOTION of Council Member Siegel, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Weber and unanimously carried on roll call vote, it was resolved to approve items B, C, D, and E of the consent calendar as submitted.

## **MATTERS FROM CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS**

### **A. Consideration of Proposed Ordinance Regarding City's Filming Requirements – First Reading**

City Attorney Roxanne Diaz provided an overview of the proposed draft ordinance which would revise the current Municipal Code regarding filming permits and requirements:

The maximum amount of filming allowed would be two days within a one year calendar period per property and/or applicant; the hours would be restricted from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., Monday through Friday with no filming on Saturday or Sunday; the permit would be issued by the Building Official if certain requirements and criteria were met: the application must be made 15 days in advance, and signed by both the applicant and property owner; there would be no formal hearing process, but notice would be provided to the surrounding property owners so they would be aware of the filming activity; if there are any negative findings, the Building Official could condition the permit; there are certain restrictions that would be placed on each permit, but additional conditions could be added depending on the circumstances; the permit could be revoked or suspended if any violations of the permit occur.

Mayor Freedland stated the following:

In talking to residents and thinking about this issue, he does have a problem allowing a commercial activity filming permit; in one instance, during a filming shoot, other homes in the City were shown, which was something not allowed; it is hard to correct a violation after it has already occurred; he would like to have some type of mechanism to allow a one or two day shoot for non-commercial purposes, but believes it would be appropriate to prohibit any other type of filming; maybe the proposed ordinance could be tightened even further, although he does not know how other Council Members feel about this; in other words, he could see a total ban on filming except for a process to allow for certain shoots such as an interview within the house, or any other accomplishment that would be non-commercial and less obtrusive; something Council Member Siegel said made a lot of sense to him – that people pay a great deal of money to live here behind the gates with some reasonable expectation of privacy and no commercial activity next door.

The following comments were made by Council Member Siegel:

How do we define commercial use: he would assume a wedding videographer or a news crew would be okay; what if someone had some type of collection that a television station wanted to show without paying the owner; that is a commercial entity, but the owner is not benefiting from it; another example would be showing someone's home in a magazine, with no money being exchanged; he would think those are the types of filming activity that should be protected, perhaps with some process like a mini-variance; if

someone legitimately needs one or two days and can convince staff they are not receiving any economic benefit even though it is a commercial enterprise, maybe that could be allowed; but there should be no permit for commercial use except through a special process.

City Attorney Diaz added the following:

One of the issues in defining parameters is that you do not want to engage in a content based type of discussion; you cannot differentiate between uses, as they should all be treated the same; the Council could try to define commercial activity; she would hesitate to call the process a variance, as that is a term used in a land use context; it is really a permit, and this is a regulatory ordinance; what she believes she is hearing, at least from at least two of the Council Members, is that no filming would be allowed except in certain circumstances where the parameters have been defined; for example, still photography is okay, such as pictures of a garden to be shown in a magazine, or if there is no compensation or in-kind services to a property owner, a one or two day permit would be appropriate.

Mayor Freedland thought it might be very difficult to determine if a property owner received any compensation. Mayor Pro Tem Weber felt they were talking about individual freedoms vs. freedom of the neighbors, and that by allowing only a one or two day shoot would lock out certain filming, as that was not much time. He did not think the residents would have had an issue or be complaining if the filming had only been two days or maybe even up to five days. Mayor Freedland pointed out that the two days proposed was in addition to any set-up or strike time. Council Member Fisher suggested the Council should be very careful in trying to narrow the scope regarding commercial activity, as some residents engage in commercial activity by leasing horse space, and perhaps the answer was to not allow any filming.

Mayor Freedland stated the following:

It is difficult to police filming and any conditions placed on that filming; what happens if someone is given a five-day permit, and then films on the sixth or seventh day; they would be gone before much of anything could be done; for one of the permits previously issued in the City, there was to be no night filming or any lights used, but that is exactly what was done one night when City Hall was closed; practically speaking, it is hard to enforce conditions; perhaps filming should be completely prohibited; the exception could

be a permit granted by the City Council for a one or two day inside innocuous shoot; the Council would have the authority to grant an exception permit on a case by case basis.

After further discussion, the City Attorney stated that from what she had heard from the Council, she would draft a revised ordinance that would do the following: prohibit filming; set an appeal process to obtain a permit from the Council as long as certain findings could be made and filming parameters met; parameters would include 1) two days maximum filming per year; 2) no compensation to be received by property owner; 3) hours to remain the same as proposed; 4) include still photography; and 5) include an exception for student films.

In response to Mayor Pro Tem Weber, Community Association Board Member Ron Wolfe stated that the Board had placed an item in the Association newsletter asking for input on filming, and was also waiting to hear what the City chose to do. After further discussion and input from residents Bret Katz and Mike Ashley, the City Attorney was directed to remove still photography from the proposed ordinance. The Council felt it could be allowed for now, and then addressed later if it became a problem.

**B. Report from the 5/20/08 Las Virgenes Malibu Council of Governments (LVMCOG) Meeting**

Council Member Siegel provided the following report:

The COG has a new member from Malibu, as the previous representative was termed off of the Council, although Ms. Conley-Ulich has not been at a COG meeting yet; he will most likely be the next President of the COG, with meetings hosted by Hidden Hills; things are speeding up in relation to the Regional Water Quality Control Board and water quality issues and requirements; the Director of the COG has been asked to make water quality issues a priority; environmental groups have full access to Water Boards, while cities seem to have more of an adversarial relationship with them; our state representatives have not been very supportive of cities; he will be requesting that the Council approve \$25,000 per year to join a consortium of cities to work on a “softer”, more public relations approach with the Boards; he would like the Mayor to meet with him and our Special Counsel Larry Wiener, who has been very involved in the formation of this new group; Hidden Hills sits in two watersheds, with only .2 of one percent of the City draining to the Malibu Creek Watershed; Malibu and Calabasas have spent millions,

with Malibu still being recently sued for not doing enough to improve water quality; Calabasas, along with 19 other cities including Hidden Hills, were all served with Notices of Violations (NOVs) for water quality issues; we have incurred a great deal of legal fees in relation to the NOV and have pending legal requirements in both watersheds; the Water Boards want zero trash, nutrients, bacteria, etc. in the watersheds, although there is no scientific evidence behind many of these requirements; many cities are looking at the possibility of having to reduce public services due to the costs related to water quality requirements and all the Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), with these costs in some cases representing 20% of a city's budget; in that regard, he may ask the Council in the future to rearrange the City's budget to address these issues, possibly moving money from future undergrounding funds; this is a very serious fiscal issue facing the City, and we, along with many other cities, do not have the kind of resources needed.

**C. Report from the 5/20/08 Hidden Hills Community Association Board of Directors Meeting**

Association Board Member and City liaison Ron Wolfe presented the following report:

The Association's proposed budget has been included in the latest Round-Up; it will not be finalized until September when the Assessor's Role is received; the assessment rate will be set at that time; they have lowered the expense level; also in the Round-Up are the proposed changes to the Architectural Standards.

**MATTERS FROM STAFF**

**A. Vesting Tentative Map 63567 (Ashley) - Update**

City Engineer Dirk Lovett reported that staff was continuing to prepare reports for a future Council meeting.

**B. Charles Abbott Monthly Report - April**

The report was received and filed.

**CLOSED SESSION**

**CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL**

**CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR**

**Government Code Section 54957.6**

**Public Employee Negotiator: City Attorney and City Treasurer**

**Unrepresented Employees: City Manager, Deputy City Clerk and Bookkeeper**

Upon MOTION of Council Member Siegel, seconded by Council Member Fisher and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adjourn to closed session at 9:21 p.m., at which time the City Attorney announced that the Council in closed session would be discussing the item listed above. Also in attendance during the closed session was consultant John Bartel.

Upon MOTION of Mayor Pro Tem Weber, seconded by Council Member Fisher and unanimously carried, it was resolved to return to open session at 9:48 p.m., at which time the City Attorney announced that the Council in closed session discussed the item listed above, and that no reportable action was taken.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Council, upon MOTION of Council Member Siegel, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Weber and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adjourn the regular meeting of June 9, 2008 at 9:49 p.m.

---

Steve Freedland, Mayor

ATTEST:

---

Cherie L. Paglia, City Manager/City Clerk